

Message

---

**From:** Alpern, Michael [Alpern.Michael@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 4/21/2022 11:06:24 PM  
**To:** Alpern, Michael [Alpern.Michael@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Exide?

- Superfund is meant for this sort of work; biggest and baddest types of contamination
- And lead is a really pernicious contaminant that impacts communities
- Listing is a rulemaking, so takes time (two years minimum)
  - We first assess the site using scoring system
  - Then rulemaking cycle; only two times per year can a site be proposed for NPL (Sept and Spring)
  - We then go out for public comment
- 2<sup>nd</sup> letter allows us some time to address Exide without predetermining the NPL listing
- While listing process goes forward, DTSC will continue to have a role in addressing the threat...DTSC won't go away immediately; they'll keep addressing threat while listing/rulemaking moves forward (LCRD says DTSC will take interim cleanup work over from VERT/Trust)

---

**From:** Alpern, Michael <Alpern.Michael@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2022 5:09 PM  
**To:** Alpern, Michael <Alpern.Michael@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Exide?

- Three-way coordination between comms people at R9, DTSC, Calif Assembly Speaker's Office
- Erin Curtis aware and involved too
- Is EPA excited about Superfund listing of Exide? DTSC thinks so. I need to confirm.

Mike Alpern - Director, Public Affairs  
U.S. EPA Region 9 (Pacific Southwest)  
(415) 947-4142 | [alpern.michael@epa.gov](mailto:alpern.michael@epa.gov)  
Follow us on Twitter: @EPAregion9

